



Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at <http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content>.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

printing long lists of synonyms, dates, and references to prior publication.

Some time we shall all do better in this kind of work, but there is an immense amount of work to be done before the ground can be cleared for more valuable investigation. When much of the work now going on is put together as a whole, I think it will appear more valuable than it does at present.

EDWARD L. RAND, *Boston, Mass.*

THE AUTHORSHIP OF CERTAIN NAMES.

To the Editors of the Botanical Gazette:—Contribution U. S. Nat. Herb. 3: no. 9, just to hand, suggests a query as to the authorship of two new names proposed therein. On page 572 we have "*Salix barrattiana tweedyi* Bebb, var. nov.;" but it is explained by Mr. Rose in a footnote that the late Mr. Bebb gave the variety another name, which was preoccupied, and that he (Mr. Rose) substituted *tweedyi*. It appears to me that we cannot possibly cite as Bebb's a name he never wrote, or even thought of, and the status of the matter is the same as if Bebb had published his description with the preoccupied name, and Rose had offered a substitute in a later publication. Consequently it must be *S. barrattiana tweedyi* Rose.

A more difficult question arises in regard to "*Crepis barbiger*a Leiberg, sp. nov.," page 565. From the appearance of the description, and the absence of quotation marks or any statement to the contrary, we are led to suppose that it was written wholly by Mr. Coville. Now if Mr. Leiberg merely ticketed specimens of a new *Crepis* with the name *barbiger*a, this name would be nothing but a *nomen nudum*, and the author of the species would be he who first gave or cited a description in connection with the name. Nevertheless, we may, I think, still regard *Crepis barbiger*a as Leiberg's species, even allowing the description to be Coville's, by assuming that the latter prepared a diagnosis, to which the former gave a name. The status of the matter then is the same as if Coville had published a nameless description, and Leiberg had in a later paper proposed a name.

T. D. A. COCKERELL, *Mesilla, N. M.*